

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/684,977	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YI, SU YONG	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sarah Purol	<b>Art Unit</b> 3634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sarah Purol.

(2) John Buchaca.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 February 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8

Prior art documents discussed:


Schwartz et al. 4,235,490

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Amendments were agreed upon to place the application in condition for allowance. See attached interview summary and examiner's amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)